IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.92 OF 2002

.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, apperances, Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders.	**Court's or Judge's orders**

.....

Shri. Kuldeep S. Patil for the Applicant.
Ms M.H. Mhatre, A.P.P. for the Respondent No.2.

CORAM : A.S. OKA, J.
DATE : JULY 19, 2005.
P.C.:

1. Revision Application is filed by the original complainant for challenging the order of acquittal passed by the learned Magistrate by which the Respondent No.1 was acquitted of the offence punishable under section 324 of the Indina Penal Code.

2. The scope of the Revision Application is very limited. After hearing the Counsel for the Applicant, I find that the learned Magistrate has considered the entire evidence on record. There is no perversity in the findings recorded by the learned Judge. There is no menifest error of law. Hence, there is no merit in the Revision Application and the same is rejected.

JUDGE